UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/440,137	11/15/1999	HIROYUKI TAKEUCHI	1232-19	2582

23117   7590   12/23/2003

NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC
1100 N GLEBE ROAD
8TH FLOOR
ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714

EXAMINER
PADEN, CAROLYN A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1761	

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Control No.	Patent Under Reexamination
Ex Parte Reexamination Interview Summary	09/440,137	TAKEUCHI ET AL.
	Examiner	**Art Unit**
	Carolyn A Paden	1761

All participants (USPTO personnel, patent owner, patent owner's representative):

(1) *Carolyn A Paden*   (3) _____

(2) *Art Crawford*   (4) _____

Date of Interview: 12-16-03

Type: a)☒ Telephonic   b)☐ Video Conference
c)☐ Personal (copy given to: 1)☐ patent owner   2)☐ patent owner's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes   e)☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☒ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.
Any other agreement(s) are set forth below under "Description of the general nature of what was agreed to…"

Claim(s) discussed: *all. generally*

Identification of prior art discussed: *all. relied upon*

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
applicant presented proposed amendments to the claims which eliminated features of the claims. Examiner suggested
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims patentable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims patentable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
that such amendments to the claims are more suitable for a written response than for a telephone interview
A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP § 2281). IF A RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION HAS ALREADY BEEN FILED, THEN PATENT OWNER IS GIVEN **ONE MONTH** FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO PROVIDE THE MANDATORY STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (37 CFR 1.560(b)). THE REQUIREMENT FOR PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT CAN NOT BE WAIVED. **EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE GOVERNED BY 37 CFR 1.550(c).**

application to be reconsidered on filing a formal response

cc: Requester (if third party requester)   Examiner's signature, if required

Carolyn Paden